Appl. No. 10/766,415 Amdt. June 21, 2007 Reply to Office action of May 11, 2007

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (canceled)

Claim 2 (previously presented) In a perimeter clip that

- (a) attaches to an angle wall molding having a face and a ledge, and
- (b) supports a beam end in a suspended ceiling, the perimeter clip having
 - (a) a first leg that attaches to and extends along the wall molding, and
 - (b) a second leg that supports the beam end and that extends away from the wall molding face at a right angle to the first leg;

the improvement comprising

- a slot in the second leg having
 - (1) an inclined segment that extends upward and away from the first leg, and
 - (2) a horizontal segment that extends toward the wall molding face from the inclined segment,

wherein the slot is capable of receiving a screw that extends through the slot into the web of the beam end

Appl. No. 10/766,415 Amdt. Dated June 21, 2007 Reply to Office action of May 11, 2007

being supported in the clip, with the screw free to slide in the slot during an earthquake and support the beam end in the clip.

Claim 3. (previously presented) The clip of claim 2 wherein the screw is capable of being tightened in the slot to fix the beam end in the clip so that the beam end does not slide in the clip during an earthquake.

Claim 4. (previously presented) The clip of claim 2 wherein the beam end, during an earthquake, is elevated above the wall molding ledge at times during the slide of the screw in the slot, to prevent interference between the beam end and the wall molding ledge.

Claim 5. (previously presented) The clip of claim 4 wherein the beam end, during an earthquake, is elevated above the wall molding ledge during the slide of the screw in the inclined segment of the slot.

Claim 6. (previously presented) The use of the clip of claim 2 in a suspended ceiling in geographical zones prone to seismic events.